

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/083,410		SAEKI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis		1711	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) Garret Davis.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

22 23

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


The examiner contacted applicants attorney for permission for the examiner's amendment to claim 22 for clarity and to cancel claim 23 since it did not further limit a preceding claim.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jeffrey Mullis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)